



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
06/25/2003	LeeLing Tan	STL11059	3955	
7590 07/05/2005		EXAMINER		
Derek J. Berger, Seagate Technology LLC			SNIEZEK, ANDREW L	
ty - COL2LGL				
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
30503		2651	2651	
	07/05/2005 Seagate Technology I ty - COL2LGL	07/05/2005 Seagate Technology LLC ty - COL2LGL	07/05/2005 EXAMI Seagate Technology LLC ty - COL2LGL ART UNIT	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/606,034	TAN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Andrew L. Sniezek	2651		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replimate of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from c, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🛛	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 March 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 8-12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,12-14,17 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15,16,20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicat	ion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "plurality of zones" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/606,034 Page 3

Art Unit: 2651

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-5, 13, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kanda et al.

The body of this rejection is incorporated herein from paragraph 3 of the office action mailed 12/7/04. It is noted that the limitations of claim 18 (now cancelled) have been incorporated in claim 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 6, 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanda et al. in view of Hassan et al.

The body of this rejection is incorporated herein from paragraph 6 of the office action mailed 12/7/04.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-12 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,034 Page 4

Art Unit: 2651

7. Claims 15-16 and 20-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 3/4/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant indicates that although Kanda et al. teaches to use of a data table, which stores values for retraction voltages and time intervals, these values corresponding to a head location not a zone as set forth by the claims. Although the terms used between the claim and the reference are different, examiner deems a head location (cylinder) as taught by Kanda et al. to be equivalent to a zone as set forth in the claims. There is no language in the claims that defines a zone. As broadly as set forth, a zone can be interpreted as meaning a single track.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US006765746B2 (having the same assignee as Kanda et al.) teaches that it is well know to have retraction values stored for different zones (plurality of tracks) of a disk.
- 10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2651

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Sniezek whose telephone number is 571-272-7563. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew L. Sniezek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2651

A.L.S. 6/30/05